



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/766,121

01/27/2004

Chengjun Liu

436/5

2115

27538

7590

11/28/2005

KAPLAN GILMAN GIBSON & DERNIER L.L.P.  
900 ROUTE 9 NORTH  
WOODBIDGE, NJ 07095

EXAMINER

CHEN, WENPENG

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2625

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/766,121

Applicant(s)

LIU, CHENGJUN

Examiner

Wenpeng Chen

Art Unit

2625

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-7 and 10-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3-7, 10-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/29/2005 has been entered.

**Examiner's responses to Applicant's remark**

2. Applicant's amendments filed on 8/29/2005 make the followings set forth in Office Action mailed on 5/27/2005 moot: (1) objection to Claims 26-27 (paragraph 3), (2) objection to drawing (paragraph 9), and (3) objection to specification (paragraph 10.)

3. Applicant's arguments with regard to the art rejections, filed on 8/29/2005, have been fully considered, but not persuasive.

a. Applicant's argument -- With regard to Claims 10-12, the Applicant has stated a particular definition of a DFA vector in the specification. The Examiner cites the left column of page 43 of Yang and page 44 thereof as teaching of DFA. The Examiner's citation ignores Applicant's specific definition given in the specification.

Art Unit: 2625

Examiner's response -- The Examiner has searched the Applicant's specification and did not find an explicit definition of DFA. Therefore, if the Applicant does not recite DFA more specifically, the examiner will hold his rejections to Claims 10-12 with the same ground.

b. With regard to Claims 13-16, the Applicant's arguments are moot due to the newly added amendments.

c. With regard to Claims 1-7, the Applicant's arguments are similar to that given to Claims 10-12 above. As explained above, the Applicant's arguments are not persuasive by direct to Applicant's specific definition of DFA. The Applicant did not challenged Examiner's motivation for combination. Thus the Examiner maintains his position.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang et al. ("Detecting Faces in Images: a Survey," Yang, Ming-Hsuan et al., IEEE Trans. On Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, v. 24, no. 1, January 2002, pages 34-58 cited previously.)

Yang teaches a method of classifying an input images as being of a first type or of a second type, the method comprising:

-- calculating Gaussian PDFs (Probability Density Functions) of images classes of said first type and of said second type using a single multivariate Gaussian PDF,

- wherein said first type is a face and said second type is a nonface; (left column, page 43 teaching two types of image, a face and a nonface; section 2.4.2 from right column, page 43 to left column, page 44 teaching the Gaussian PDF)

-- utilizing said Gaussian PDFs in conjunction with at least one input image to classify said input image as either being of a first type or of a second type; (section 2.4.2 from right column, page 43 to left column, page 44)

-- wherein the PDFs of the face and nonface classes are calculated only after first calculating a DFA (Discriminating Feature Analysis) vector of each of a plurality of training images; (DFA being generated at first in section 2.4.2 of right column, page 43, then PDF's being calculated in left column, page 44)

-- wherein a DFA vector of an input image is calculated and a Bayesian discriminator function is used to process the DFA vector of the input image to classify said input image as either a face or nonface; (section 2.4, left column, page 43)

-- wherein said PDFs of the face and nonface classes are calculated during training based upon a sample set of at least several hundred FERET images. (section 3.1, page 49)

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary

Art Unit: 2625

skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1 and 3-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang et al. ("Detecting Faces in Images: a Survey," Yang, Ming-Hsuan et al., IEEE Trans. On Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, v. 24, no. 1, January 2002, pages 34-58) in view of Go (US patent 5,761,341 cited previously.)

Yang teaches a method of representing an image comprising:

- obtaining an image; (right column, page 43, section 2.4.2; last paragraph, right column, page 45)
- calculating its edge representation and amplitude projections; (last paragraph, right column, page 45; left column, page 37 and Fig. 3)
- using the image, edge representation, and amplitude projections as features for face recognition; (first paragraph, section 2.2.4 "Multiple features; left column, page 43)
- combining several features for facial recognition. (first paragraph, section 2.2.4 "Multiple features; right column, page 45)

However, Yang does not explicitly teach combining all the image, edge representation, and amplitude projections as features for face recognition.

It is desirable to improve accuracy of facial recognition. This objective can be achieved by combining various features as discriminating components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to combine all the image, edge representation, and amplitude projections that appear in different portions of Yang's paper as features for face recognition because this combination improves accuracy of facial recognition.

Furthermore, however such an obvious extension of Yang's teaching does not teach using 1-D Haar wavelet representation of the image as features for facial recognition.

Go teaches using 1-D Haar wavelet to derive edge images in both vertical and horizontal directions. (column 7, lines 1-50; Please note that Go's equation in line 5, column 7 is the same as equation (1) of '596 application. The images generated by Go thus are 1-D Haar wavelet representations of the image in the vertical and horizontal directions.)

It is desirable to be flexible in processing image for facial recognition. Because 1-D Haar wavelet operation is one of edge determining processes, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to include 1-D Haar wavelet operation to generate Yang's edge maps as part of feature vector because this combination provides process flexibility.

The combination thus teaches:

- calculating 1-D Haar wavelet representation and amplitude projections of an image;
- combining said image with said 1-D Haar representation and said amplitude projections.

Yang further teaches in the method the following features:

- wherein said combining includes forming a discriminating feature analysis (DFA) vector of said image; (right column, page 43; The feature vector is normalized and presented with respect to the mean image. The vector is thus a DFA vector.)

- wherein a plurality of DFA vectors are formed based upon training images; (section 2.4.2; the learning process being inherently based on training images)

- wherein said DFA vectors from said training images are used to model face and non face classes using a single multivariate probability distribution function (PDF) for each of said face classes; (left column, page 43 teaching two types of image, a face and a nonface; section 2.4.2 from right column, page 43 to left column, page 44 teaching the Gaussian PDF)

Art Unit: 2625

-- wherein said models are stored and used for later analysis of input images; (right column, page 43; Comparison is made between an input image and the prototype clusters. The prototype clusters are the models.)

-- calculating a DFA of an input image to be analyzed; (right column, page 43; Comparison is made between an input image and the prototype clusters. The DFA of the input image has to be calculated before the comparison.)

-- using said DFA vectors of said input image to classify the image using a Bayesian classifier. (section 2.4, left column, page 43)

8. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sung et al. ("Example-Based Learning For View-Based Human Face Detection," Sung, et al., IEEE Trans. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, Vol. 20, No. 1, January 1998, pp. 39-51 cited in IDS filed on 4/7/2005) in view of Yang et al. ("Detecting Faces in Images: a Survey," Yang, Ming-Hsuan et al., IEEE Trans. On Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, v. 24, no. 1, January 2002, pages 34-58.)

Sung teaches a method, comprising:

-- modeling a face class of images, wherein images outside said face class of images are nonfaces within a nonface class; (sections 2, 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4, specifically section 3.4; Fig. 4; Fig. 4 clearly shows that nonfaces are outside face classes.)

-- modeling a subset of said nonfaces which lie closest to said face class, wherein said nonfaces in said subset are support nonfaces; (sections 2, 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4, specifically section 3.4; Fig. 4; The nonface patterns that are wrongly classified as faces are the nonfaces which lie

Art Unit: 2625

closest to said face class. It obvious that the "false positive" patterns are those closest to the faces patterns. They are support nonfaces.)

-- wherein said support nonfaces are closest, among said nonfaces in said nonface class, to a decision surface between said face class and said nonface class; (sections 2, 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4, specifically section 3.4; Fig. 4; The 12 pairs of distances associated with face and nonface clusters are used to classify face window patterns form nonface window patterns. The relation defined by the distances defines a surface in the multiple dimensional feature space.)

-- wherein said modeling said support nonfaces comprises: modeling support nonfaces as a multivariate normal distribution; (sections 2, 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4, specifically section 3.4; Each nonface cluster is represented by a multivariate normal distribution. A Gaussian distribution is a normal distribution.)

-- estimating a conditional density function of said nonface class using a plurality of principal components, an input image, a mean nonface value, and eigenvalues of said nonface class. (sections 2, 3.1, 3.3, and 3.4, specifically section 3.4; The density estimation is done with regard to PCA, the input of the nonface images, the mean image and a covariance, and eigenvalues of the nonface class. The 75 eigenvectors are selected as the eigenvectors having the largest 75 eigenvalues. This is the method perform in a PCA (Principal component analysis).)

However, Sung does not teach the feature of "wherein at least two of (1) a 1-D Haar representation, (2) an input image, and (3) amplitude projections are calculated for the images and utilized in said modeling."

Yang teaches a method of representing an image comprising:

-- calculating its edge representation and amplitude projections; (last paragraph, right column, page 45; left column, page 37 and Fig. 3)

Art Unit: 2625

-- using the image, edge representation, and amplitude projections as features for face recognition; (first paragraph, section 2.2.4 "Multiple features; left column, page 43)

-- combining several features for facial recognition. (first paragraph, section 2.2.4 "Multiple features; right column, page 45)

It is desirable to improve accuracy of facial recognition. This objective can be achieved by combining various features as discriminating components. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to use at least both the image and amplitude projections taught by Yang for modeling a subset of Sung's faces and nonfaces because this combination improves accuracy of facial recognition by better distinguishing faces from nonfaces.

The combination thus teaches:

-- the feature of "wherein at least an input image and amplitude projections from a group of (1) a 1-D Haar representation, (2) an input image, and (3) amplitude projections are calculated for the images and utilized in said modeling."

### ***Conclusion***

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wenpeng Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7431. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta can be reached on 571-272-7453. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571-273-8300 for regular


Art Unit: 2625

communications and 571-273-8300 for After Final communications. TC 2600's customer service number is 571-272-2600.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Wenpeng Chen  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2625

November 22, 2005

  
11/22/05